1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
2	Office States Attorney
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4	Chief, Criminal Division
5	TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225) Assistant United States Attorney
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
7	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7071
8	Facsimile: (415) 436-7071 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov
9	Tatek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
15	
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 08-276 MHP
17	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
18	v.) EACLODING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3101
19	GILBERTO NARANJO AVILA,
20	Defendant.
21	
22	On June 16, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a status
23	conference. At that status conference, the parties requested that the Court exclude all time under
24	the Speedy Trial Act from June 16, 2008 through July 7, 2008 because defense counsel needs
25	additional time to evaluate the case, and to review discovery that the government produced. The
26	parties agree that the length of the continuance is the reasonable time necessary for preparation of
27	defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice
28	
	STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCL. TIME
ı	om a [moroses] onesit smes. Time

CR 08-276 MHP

1

1	served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant
2	in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
3	
4	SO STIPULATED:
5	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
6	United States Attorney
7	
8	DATED: June 17, 2008 TAREK J. HELOU
9	Assistant United States Attorney
10	
11	DATED: June 17, 2008 /s/ ELIZABETH M. FALK
12	Attorney for Defendant GILBERTO NARANJO AVILA
13	
14	For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that exclusion of time from June 16, 2008
15	through July 7, 2008 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh
16	the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
17	The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of
18	counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(iv).
19	SO OPDEDED
20	SO ORDERED.
21	
22	DATED: June 19, 2008 THE HONOR IT IS SO OTALL.
23	
24	Judge Marilyn H. Patel
25	
26	THERN DISTRICT OF COM
27	

28